

REMARKS

By the present amendment, Applicant has amended Claims 6, 10 and 11. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been canceled. Claims 6, 10 and 11 remain pending in the present application. Claim 6 is the only independent claim.

In the recent Office Action the Examiner objected to Claim 9 under 37 CFR § 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of Claim 8. The cancellation of claim 9 by the present amendment serves to render this particular objection moot.

Claims 1-3 and 5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prayer Cards from Catholic Supply of St. Louis, Inc. in view of Zaccheo (U.S. 3,777,413). Claims 4, 6, 7 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prayer Cards from Catholic Supply of St. Louis, Inc. in view of Zaccheo (U.S. 3,777,413) for the same reasons as the rejection of claims 1 and 6, and further in view of Miguel (U.S. D333,614). Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prayer Cards from Catholic Supply of St. Louis, Inc. in view of Zaccheo (U.S. 3,777,413) and Miguel (U.S. D333,614) for the same reasons as the rejection of Claim 7 and further in view of Carew (U.S. D88,857). The Examiner indicated that Claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and of any intervening claims.


Application No. : 10/762,288
Art Unit : 3714

Attorney Docket No. 22330.01
Confirmation No. 4680

The Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter is noted with appreciation. In this regard, Applicant has amended independent Claim 6 to incorporate the allowable subject matter of Claim 8, and intervening Claim 7. Applicant respectfully submits that for at least these reasons, amended independent Claim 6 and its corresponding dependent Claims 10 and 11, are allowable over the prior art applied of record. Remaining claims 1-5 and 7-9 have all been cancelled.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. If such is not the case, the Examiner is requested to kindly contact the undersigned in an effort to satisfactorily conclude the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard C. Litman". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Richard" being more prominent than the last name "Litman".

Richard C. Litman
Registration No. 30,868
(703) 486-1000

RCLdht:/rwg